## MUG 91 1988

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

In Reply Refer to: 3HW16

Mr. H. Dudley Bart Vice President and General Manager Ametek Inc./Haveg Division 900 Greenbank Road Wilmington, DE 19800

Re: Army Creek Landfill Superfund Site

Dear Mr. Bart:

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency), by this letter, notifies you that the Ametek, Inc. (formerly Haveg Industries) is a Potentially Responsible Party (PRP) for contamination at Army Creek Landfill Superfund site, New Castle County, Delaware. EPA has information indicating that Haveg Industries generated wastes that were either transported to or disposed of at the Army Creek Landfill (also known as Llangollen Landfill).

EPA has determined that action at the site is necessary. EPA encourages your participation in the activities described below. As further described below, EPA hereby requests that Ametek notify EPA, within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of this letter, whether it is interested in participating in this project. If we do not hear from you by that time, EPA will assume that Ametek will not participate in the project.

Under Sections 106(a) and 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1986, 42 U.S.C. Sections 9606(a) and 9607(a) (CERCLA), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Public Law No. 99-499, 100 Stat. 1613 (October 17. 1986) (SARA), responsible parties may be obligated to implement any needed relief actions as determined by EPA and may also be liable for all costs incurred by the government in responding to any release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the site. Such costs can include, but are not limited to, expenditures for investigation, planning, cleanup of the site, and enforcement.

Under Section 107(a) of CDMCLA, responsible parties are liable for the costs of response actions. Responsible parties include: 1) present owners or operators of the site; 2) owners or operators at the time of disposal; 3) any persons who atranged for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the site, and, 4) transporters of hazardous substances to the site. SMA's records indicate that Havey Industries is a responsible party under CERCLA Section 107(a)(3).

The EPA has expended public funds to investigate releases of nazardous substances at the Army Creek Landfill. EPA may spend additional public funds on action to further investigate and control those releases. Onless EPA determines that a PRP will properly perform such actions, EPA intends to do so pursuant to Section 184 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9604, and 40 C.F.R. Section 300.68.

On September 30, 1986, the EPA Regional Administrator signed a Record of Dacision (ROD) for the first operable unit at the Army Creek site. The ROD required implementation of source controls, including a RCRA-type landfill cap and the continued operation of the downgradient recovery well network. Selection of a treatment alternative for the recovered groundwater and a decision on appropriate remedial measures for sediments in Army Creek will be the subject of a second operable unit ROD.

Specifically, CPA is planning to conduct the following studies at the Army Creek site unless an acceptable agreement can be reached:

- Remedial Investigation (RI) Further investigations to define the nature and extent of surface water and sediment contamination at the site.
- 2. Feasibility Study (FS)  $\lambda$  study to evaluate obtential remedial alternatives with emphasis on risk reduction through actions that utilize treatment to permanently and significantly reduce the toxicity, mobility, or volume of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants.

In addition to the above studies, you may be asked at a later date to undertake, or may be liable for, any additional corrective measures necessary to protect public health and welfare, or the environment. Such measures may include, without limitation:

- 1. Implementing emergency removal actions, e.g., securing the site to prevent contact with any hazardous substances that may be present at the site and/or removal of contaminated material from the surface of the site;
- Implementing expedited response actions, non-time-critical removal actions taken when the Agency decides to implement a cleanup that does not require extensive study. This type of action must be clearly defined and limited in scope and duration;

AR200033

- 3. Designing and implementing the CPM-approved concilial options and
- 4. Providing any monitoring and maintenance mecausary after remodial measures are completed.

CPA will consider an immediate offer from Ametak to conduct (under SPA supervision) the RI/FS described above in accordance with a wark plan consistent with the enclosed RI/FS guidance. Under Section 104(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9604(a), the Prosident must determine that the responsible parties are qualified to conduct the RI/FS, will promptly and properly complete the same and agree to reimburse the government for any costs incurred by or in connection with the RI/FS in order to allow the PRP to undertake such action. Any agreement to perform the RI/FS will be ambodied in a Connect Order issued under Section 104 or Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9606.

If Ametek should decide to participate in the RI/FS process, please indicate that interest in a letter to the EPA project officer identified below within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of this letter. Your letter should indicate the appropriate name, address, and telephone number for further contact with Ametek. If EPA does not hear from Ametek by that time, EPA will assume that Ametek declines any involvement in the RI/FS and will proceed with the appropriate studies and any expedited response actions needed to secure the site. EPA may later invite Ametek to undertake the design and implementation of the selected remedy upon the Agency's completion of the RI/FS. If Ametek is already involved in discussions with State or local authorities, engaged in voluntary action, or involved in a lawsuit regarding this site, you should not interpret this letter to advise or direct Ametek to restrict or discontinue any such abtivities. On the other hand, this letter should not be interpreted as endorsing any such efforts. You should report, however, the status of those discussions or that action in your letter. You should also be aware that this site cannot be deleted from the CERCLA National Priorities List until the necessary remedial work has been concluded in accordance with the enclosed RI/FS guidance and RPA's Mational Contingency Plan.

Your letter should be addressed to:

Pric Newman (3HW16)
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region III
DELMARVA/NV/DC CERCIA Remedial Enforcement Section
841 Chestnut Building
Philadelphia, Ph. 19107

Should Ametek express a willingness to conduct or participate in the RI/FS, as described above, EPA will refrain from expending funds for the site for a period of time so that meaningful discussions concerning a Consent Order can take place.

AR200039

In addition, under Section 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(e), responsible parties may be extended the opportunity to present a good-faith proposal to conduct the RI/FS to the Agency within sixty (60) days of receipt of a "special notice" letter. A special notice letter may be forwarded to you at such time that Ametek has expressed some interest in participating in the RI/FS and the Agency determines that such notice would facilitate an agreement and expedite remedial action.

The factual and legal discussions contained in this letter are intended solely for notification and information purposes. They are not intended to be and cannot be relied upon as a final agency position on any marter set forth herein.

This information request is not subject to Office of Management and Budget Review under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. Sections 3501-3520.

If you have any questions regarding the foregoing, please contact Mr. Newman at 215-597-9238.

Sincerely,

Stephen R. Wassersug, Director Hazardous Waste Management Division

cc: Brian Nishitani Office of Regional Counsel (3RC22)

> Suzanne Canning Office of Regional Counsel (3RC00)

Bruce M. Diamond, Director Office of Waste Programs Enforcement

ROUTING AND TI	RANSMITTAL SLIP	Date		
T0: (Neme, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)			Date	
l.			ĺ	
<u> </u>				
<u>.                                    </u>				
<b>k</b>				
Action	File	Note and Retu		
Approval	For Clearance	Note and Retu Per Conversat		
As Requested	For Correction		repare Reply	
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me		
Comment	Investigate	Signature		
Coordination	Justify			
letter was	a RI/FS spe Champlan assumed their	.Cable · liability namplan	/ 7.	
metek/Haveg	is behalf. Epiz	. Newron		
	a a RECORD of approvals, learances, and similar actions		disposa	
FROM: (Name, org. symbol. Agency/Post)		Room No.—Bidg.		
		Phone No.		
8041-102 dPD + 1087 D = 196-400		NAL FORM 41 (Re Me by 884 (41 CFR) 101-11,200		

AR200041